### MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING of the FINANCE COMMITTEE June 30, 1967

The Trustees met as a Finance Committee in the Kellogg Center beginning with a dinner at 6:15.

Present: Messrs. Harlan, Hartman, Merriman, Nisbet, Smith, Stevens, Thompson, and White; President Hannah, Treasurer May, and Secretary Breslin.

Absent: No one.

1. Communication from Mr. May and Mr. Neville:

At the May meeting of the Board, a report was made to the Trustees that the minimum budget for 1967-68 would require an additional \$6,231,000. The detail of the use of the additional funds as presented to the legislature and our Trustees is as follows:

Michigan State University Proposed Increases in Budget 1967-68

1) New Academic Staff

	Faculty A-P and Clerical, Librarians	140 40	\$1	,807,256 313,771	
	Graduate Assistants	48		121,734	
	Summer School			108,300	\$2,351,061
2)	Academic Supplies and Equipment				468,992
	Of this amount, \$225,000 has been allocate and the medical school. The balance would increased cost of supplies and equipment.			<b>U</b>	
3)	Salary and Wage Adjustments				
	Faculty		\$1	,187,207	
	Administrative-Professional		· · · ·	189,733	
	Clerical			350,765	
	Labor			317,242	2,044,947
4)	Non-Academic				
	Administrative-Professional	17	\$	144,500	
	Clerical	28	·	125,000	
	Supplies and Services			255,500	
	Equipment			61,000	586,000
5)	Physical Plant				
	Maintenance of new space		\$	468,333	
	Fire, insurance, grounds, sewer services		•	114,035	
	Protective services			27,700	
	Increased cost of supplies and services			169,932	780,000
					\$6,231,000

In the past few weeks the prospects for tax reform have diminished. The Senate has passed an appropriation bill for higher education which contains only \$37,896 of additional money for Michigan State University. The prospects for any substantial increase are so dim that it seems imperative that the University consider all of the alternatives for a budget which will result in the least possible long-range harm.

As you know, in the past half dozen years considerable progress has been made both in handling the large increase in numbers of students and in raising the quality of our undergraduate and graduate programs. The work has been done by a large number of faculty including several hundred of the brightest youngpeople who could be found in the country, and the prospects for the future look as bright as they have for many years. We would be less than responsible if we did not recommend a budget program to you which will maintain the momentum developed over these past few years and which would maintain the morale of all the faculty and staff of the University. Discussion of 1967-68 Budget

5850

In developing a budget plan, it is assumed that the University will:

- 1) Meet existing commitments for new staff.
- 2) Provide reasonable salary and wage adjustments.
- 3) Maintain new space.
- 4) Make all possible economies to minimize the effects of the budget.
- 5) Develop a new fee schedule and student aid program to insure that fee increases do not price any Michigan student out of an education.
- 6) Develop a balanced budget.

<ul> <li>2) Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts: <ul> <li>Recovery</li> <li>Less allocations to departments</li> <li>250,000</li> <li>3</li> </ul> </li> <li>3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government: <ul> <li>Expected grants</li> <li>Expected grants</li> <li>Source for additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)</li> <li>5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities</li> <li>6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)</li> </ul>	
h67-68 Budget       Using the above basic guidelines, we will detail in a series of actions how we can the budget crisis created by the failure of the legislature to provide funds need a minimum increase in the 1967-68 budget of \$6,231,000. The actions suggested ar priority order.         Priority I       Make the following changes in the tentative budget as originally presented to the legislature:         1) Reduce allocation of student fees for buildings       \$ 4         2) Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts:       Recovery         Recovery       \$ 600,000         Less allocations to departments       \$ 540,000         S) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$ 540,000         Less grant to colleges       \$ 270,000         to Provost reserve	
Using the above basic guidelines, we will detail in a series of actions how we can the budget crisis created by the failure of the legislature to provide funds need a minimum increase in the 1967-68 budget of \$6,231,000. The actions suggested ar priority order.          Priority I         Make the following changes in the tentative budget as originally presented to the legislature:         1) Reduce allocation of student fees for buildings       \$ 4         2) Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts:         Recovery       \$ 600,000         Less allocations to departments       _ 250,000         3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:         Expected grants       \$ 540,000         Less grant to colleges       \$ 270,000         to Provost reserve       _ 135,000       405,000         4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       44         5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities       44         6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)       _ 31,31	
Make the following changes in the tentative budget as originally presented to the legislature:         1) Reduce allocation of student fees for buildings       \$ 4         2) Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts:       \$ 600,000         Recovery       \$ 600,000         Less allocations to departments       \$ 250,000         3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$ 540,000         Less grant to colleges       \$ 270,000         Less grant to colleges       \$ 270,000         1) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       405,000         4)       Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       405,000         6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)       \$1,33	led for
legislature:       1)       Reduce allocation of student fees for buildings       \$ 4         2)       Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts:       \$ 600,000       250,000       3         3)       Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$ 540,000       250,000       3         3)       Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$ 540,000       1         Expected grants       \$ 270,000       405,000       1         Less grant to colleges       \$ 270,000       405,000       1         4)       Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       44         5)       To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities       44         6)       Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)       \$1,31	
<ul> <li>2) Recovery to general budget of indirect expense on contracts: <ul> <li>Recovery</li> <li>Less allocations to departments</li> <li>3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:</li> <li>Expected grants</li> <li>Expected grants</li> <li>S 540,000</li> <li>Less grant to colleges</li> <li>\$ 270,000</li> <li>to Provost reserve</li> <li>135,000</li> <li>405,000</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)</li> <li>44</li> <li>5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities</li> <li>6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)</li> </ul>	2
Recovery Less allocations to departments       \$ 600,000 250,000       3         3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$ 540,000         Expected grants Less grant to colleges to Provost reserve       \$ 270,000 135,000       405,000         4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       44         5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities       44         6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)       \$1,31	00,000
Less allocations to departments      250,000       3         3) Recovery to general budget on fellowship program grants from federal government:       \$	
from federal government: Expected grants \$ 540,000 Less grant to colleges \$ 270,000 to Provost reserve135,000405,000 12 4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees) 44 5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities 44 6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72) \$1,32	50,000
Less grant to colleges to Provost reserve       \$ 270,000 135,000       1         4)       Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees)       4         5)       To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities       4         6)       Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)       \$1,35	
to Provost reserve <u>135,000</u> 405,000 1. 4) Fees from additional students (increase in enrollment at current fees) 4 5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities 6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72) $\frac{1}{\$1,35}$	
<ul> <li>at current fees)</li> <li>5) To general budget from charges to new dormitory for utilities</li> <li>6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72)</li> <li>§1,35</li> </ul>	35,000
utilities 6) Increase in state appropriation (Senate Bill 72) \$1,3	.00,000
\$1,3	37,020
Priority II	<u>37,896</u> 59,916
	39,904
67-68 Priority III	
Increase the fees for Michigan students as follows:	
1) Increase resident fee \$96 per academic year from \$354 to \$450 \$2,939,367	
2) Increase fee for off-campus students from \$15 to \$17 per credit hour 82,474	•
3) Increase fee for graduate thesis credits from \$30 to \$40 per unit 61,413 \$3,083,254	
Less special reserve for student aid to be used as outlined in Exhibit A500,000 2,58	83,254

# Priority IV

Defer allocation of funds for the following programs. These items are not eliminated, but are placed in a deferred category to be reinstated should funds become available.

## Academic Areas

Postpone genetics program	\$ 75,000
Delay filling positions for which firm commitments to prospective employees	
have not been made	200,000
Library (postpone book purchases)	100,000
Mathematics (defer)	25,000
Clinical medicine (postpone employing staff for clinical program)	125,000
Eliminate funds for price increases in cost	,
of supplies and equipment (requires departments to assume price increases within	
current budgets	 40,000

40,000 \$ 565,000 Î

J Lange and

خسكم

Ć

Special Finance Committee Meeting Minutes, continued

#### 1. 1967-68 Budget, continued

#### Priority IV, continued

Other

Defer painting, repairs, and general maintenance

Reduce budgets of Physical Plant, Cleaning, etc.

Reduce allocations for all service and administrative functions

150,000 \$ 450,000

\$1,015,000

It should be understood that if our appropriation from the legislature is increased above the \$37,896 shown in Priority I that the increase will be first applied to Priority IV and, then, to III. For example, if the legislature should appropriate the funds recommended by the Governor, the \$3,049,133 of additional funds would first eliminate the need for budget deferments listed under Priority IV. Next, consideration could be given to reduce fee increases outlined in Priority III.

\$ 168,000

132,000

Finally, we would recommend that the Board not take specific action on student fees until the legislature has finalized an appropriation for the University.

We would suggest that the Trustees authorize the officers to develop a budget based on these recommendations and Exhibits A and B with the understanding that required fee increases will be approved at the July meeting of the Board of Trustees if such action is necessary.

Motion was made by Mr. Nisbet, seconded by Dr. Smith, to approve the principles outlined for the purpose of authorizing the officers of the University to prepare the budget for 1967-68 following the basic suggestions with reference to wages and salaries, possible deferments of certain expenditures, and an increase in the fee structure sufficient to produce a balanced budget, the specific fees to be dependent on the amount of the appropriation finally authorized by the legislature.

After substantial debate, the question was called for; and the vote was 4 yeas and 4 nays, with Messrs. Harlan, Hartman, Stevens, and White voting nay.

After further discussion, the President indicated that there seemed to be no other acceptable alternative but for the officers to prepare a proposed budget using the suggested outline for consideration at the July meeting, and he expressed the hope that a majority of the Trustees would approve it.

2. Communication from Mr. May:

It has been customary for many years to take care of major repairs and renovation of roads, walks, grounds and building and utility items out of year-end balances. These non-recurring items are held until near the end of the fiscal year and are then reviewed and recommendation prepared for Board approval. Confirming our conversation, I recommend that the Trustees authorize the following projects and authorize allocations immediately from the General Fund:

a. Academic Department Projects:

	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8)	Human Biology Laboratory Faculty offices, fourth floor Computer Center Faculty offices, Berkey Hall Chemistry storeroom, ventilation Chemistry laboratory, remodel Emergency lighting Home Economics, animal cave Booster pump, glass blowing	\$ 105,000 23,500 7,170 15,000 24,700 10,000 2,500 3,000	Ş	190,870
b.	Phy	sical Plant Utilities:			
	1)	To complete North Campus electric vault	\$ 53,000		
	2)	Water			
		16" well main, Forest Road to Mt. Hope	35,000		
		New well, pump and house	50,000		
		Relocate reservoir controls to Power Plant '65	15,000		
	3)	Heating			
	•	Additional guides to main steam line	10,000		
		Manholes and campus security	8,500		
		Erickson Hall, control and ducts	5,000		
	4)	Electric			
		Portable 500kw generator	65,000		
		New lighting campus	10,000		
		South Kedzie, 132kw service	10,000		

Allocations for year-end projects approved

Discussion fee increases for 1967-68

TOPE						
2000			Ju	ine 30, 196	67	•
	Special Fir	nance Committee Meeting Minutes, continued				
	2. <u>Year-er</u>	nd balances, continued				
	b. Phy	vsical Plant Utilities, continued				
	<b>D</b> . 111y	bical fland outfields, continued				
	5)	Masonry Repairs				
		Natural Science Building	Ş	14,500	•	
		IM Building		22,000		
		Eppley Building		12,500		
		Student Services Building		4,000		
		Wall Old Practice Field		2,000		
	6)	Buildings				
		Agricultural Hall roof		32,000		
		Giltner Hall, replace flashing		12,000		
		Home Economics, suspended ceiling		11,000		
		Jenison, replace water softener		8,500	\$ 380,000	
	0					
	c. Gro	unds and site work:				
	1)	Road Repairs (items 1-5)	\$	43,000		
		Parking lot repairs (items 4, 6, 7)	•	36,000		
i i	•	Bus stops		3,600		
- - -	•	Walks and bicycle routes		10,900		
	5)	Miscellaneous improvements (items 1-5)		19,550	113,050	
	d. Gen	eral Improvements and Miscellaneous:				
	1)	Olds Hall, remove shop and close building	\$	52,000		
	2)	Wells Hall, utilities to building		50,000		
	3)	Kellogg Station		27,800		
	4)	Site work required about new Administration Buildings		50,000		
	5)	Tornado warning system		7,500		
	6)	Planetarium (to complete)		2,000		
	7)	Kresge Art Center (to complete)		7,348		
	8)	Farm Lane bridge (to complete)		18,350		
	9)	Veterinary Science Building (to complete)		1,132		
	10)	Geology cameras		800		
	11)	Scotch Highlanders		1,500		
	12)	Alaskan research (Miller)		700		
	13)	Beef cattle research		30,000	249,130	
	Mr Marrima	n moved, seconded by Mr. Harlan, that this recommendati	on h	a approved	with the	
		ng that item b-3 "Additional guides to main steam line,				
		00kw generator, \$65,000" be subject to approval after s				
		ither or both should be deferred, the sum of money here		-	-	
		be made available and used for the purpose of purchasi	-			
		curring basis. Unanimously approved.	ug II	eeueu IIDI	ary DOOKS	
		carring sabro. Unanimously approved.				

3. Communication from Mr. May:

For many years it has been customary for the Trustees to authorize the President and Vice President for Business and Finance to make any necessary year-end adjustments when the books are closed.

I would again like to request the Trustees to give us this authority with the understanding that any adjustments are to be reported back at the completion of the audit by Ernst & Ernst.

On motion by Mr. Thompson, seconded by Dr. Smith, it was voted to approve Item 3.

4. Communication from Mr. May:

We need Board approval for the purchase of the following two pieces of real estate:

Pres. and VP Bus. and Finan. authorized to make necessary year-end adjustments

Approval purchase Pearl Widman property

Approval purchase Bernard Gafney, Sr. property

Discussion of bids for New Graduate Dormitory a. Pearl Widman property. Consists of 12.198 acres of land located at 4207 Aurelius Road which abuts the property on the west owned by Michigan State University and more commonly known as the Bear Lake property. The purchase price is \$21,956.40.

b. Mr. and Mrs. Bernard F. Gaffney, Sr., property. Located at 3534 Forest Road. Property consists of a three-bedroom, ranch-style house built in 1954, and a lot 185' x 264'. The purchase price is \$28,500.

On motion by Dr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Stevens, it was voted to approve Item 4.

5. On June 13 the following bids were received for the New Graduate Dormitory:

<u>General Work</u>	
Christman Company	\$5,535,000
Miller-Davis Company	6,043,000

Mechanical WorkSpitzley Corporation2,085,000Robert Carter Corporation2,273,000John Green Plumbing & Heating2,288,000Shaw-Winkler, Inc.2,324,000Donald Miller Company2,396,000Stanley-Carter Company2,499,000

June 30, 1967

#### Special Finance Committee Meeting Minutes, continued

#### 5. Bids for New Graduate Dormitory, continued

Electrical Work			
Central Electric Motor & Construction Comp	bany	\$	687,251
Hatzel & Buehler	-		742,800
Hall Electric Company			775,000
Barker-Fowler Company			785,200
Fox Electric, Inc.			820,000
Lansing Electric			918,692
<u>Electric Elevators</u>			150 (00
Haughton Elevator			152,433
Otis Elevator Company			159,870
Westinghouse Electric Corporation			160,438
Food Service Equipment			
Great Lakes Hotel Supply		Ş	294,494
Canton China & Equipment Company			311,764
Finish Hardware			
Knoblock Hardware Company, Inc.		Ś	100,985
Sterling Doorware Company		Ϋ́,	103,900
Grand Rapids Screen Company			108,000
cran- Raprad bereen dompany			
mine an intraction of the lass little encoded as	14 da a constant hudant a	a Japaniad	halarr.

The combination of the low bids would result in a project budget as detailed below:

Construction	\$8,855,163	
Engineering Inspection	88,551	\$8,943,714
Architect		445,000
Utilities		581,700
Site		55,000
Furnishings		600,000
Contingency		74,586
		\$10,700,000

Since receipt of the bids, the architects and our staff have been analyzing the bids to determine the cause of the project coming in far over the budget. It should be noted that the mechanical and electrical work was bid for less than our estimate, but that the architectural work far exceeded our estimate.

From the start it was recognized that a completion date of August 15, 1968, was a very tight schedule for the contractors. Further, if there are strikes in the building trade in the spring of 1968, as appears likely, the project would not be ready for use when the 1968 fall term opens. The Christman Company qualified its bid that its price was based on a normal 40-hour week. In post-bidding conferences, the firm indicated that it could not possibly complete the project in the time specified. The Miller-Davis firm, which submitted the high bid, indicated that it had made provision in its bid for additional framing lumber, equipment, temporary roofs, etc., to deliver the project at the specified time, but that any completion was contingent on a no-strike labor market.

From the studies which have been made to date, it appears most unlikely that the dormitory would be ready for use in the fall of 1968 and that the tight bidding schedule unnecessarily inflated the bids and probably prevented some contractors from submitting a bid. It is, therefore, our recommendation that the Trustees:

- a. Reject all general architectural bids.
- b. Authorize the University's architect to make any revisions in plans which could result in substantial price reductions.
- Establish a new completion date for the spring of 1969. c.

# 5859

Discussion of bids for New Graduate Dormitory

d. Rebid the project prior to the September meeting of the Trustees.

Since the electrical contractor with the low bid has indicated it will hold its bid for 90 days, I would recommend the electrical work not be rebid. The mechanical contractor was \$187,000 under the second low bid and because this change in starting date and completion date would result in much higher labor costs, he has asked for an adjustment of \$78,500 in his bid. This seems reasonable, but I would want to study the request for a price adjustment further before reaching a conclusion on whether this part of the work should be rebid. We have not yet contacted the other bidders to see whether they would extend their contracts for 90 days.

On motion by Mr. Merriman, seconded by Mr. White, it was voted to approve this recommendation. Mr. Harlan abstained.

6. At the June meeting it was understood that Dr. Fuzak would be granted a leave for rest, and the action was so worded as to make the compensation during the leave at the reduced rate after transfer to the College of Education. It is recommended that this action be corrected so that he will receive compensation at the present rate until September 15 and at the new rate effective September 15, 1967.

Approval of compensation rate for leave for Dr. Fuzak

On motion by Mr. Harlan, seconded by Mr. Hartman, it was voted to approve the above recommendation.

5860 June 30, 1967 Special Finance Committee Meeting Minutes, continued 7. Mr. Breslin raised a question as to how he was to proceed with negotiations with the Discussion labor union on wages and economic issues since our union contract expires this evening regarding at midnight and has been temporarily extended for only 21 days. negotiations with labor By concensus, it was agreed that Mr. Breslin should negotiate within a 6 percent pay union increase including all fringes, the contract to be subject to final Trustee approval. 8. Mr. May asked for authority to meet the payrolls that occur between now and July 21 Mr. May authorat the current pay rates. ized to meet payrolls On motion by Mr. Nisbet, seconded by Mr. Stevens, it was voted that this authorization be approved. 9. Bids for the new parking lots at Oakland University were opened on June 28 and the Oakland Univ. following contract prices were quoted (in a communication from Mr. Varner): Authorization to proceed E. T. Cook, Inc. \$185,000 with new 195,000 parking lots Sterling Garrett, Inc. Gordon Selway, Inc. Bid rejected because it did not meet specifications Giffel and Webster, Inc., our consulting engineers, have reviewed the bids and recommend that the bid of E. T. Cook, Inc., be accepted. The budget for this project is: Construction \$185,000 9,250 Engineering Fees 5,750 Contingency \$200,000 The financing of this construction has been discussed with Mr. Philip May. It is our plan to bring to the July 20 Board meeting a total financing package, but in the meantime we would appreciate authorization from the Board of Trustees to proceed so that we can start construction immediately in order to have the parking lots ready for the fall semester. On motion by Mr. Nisbet, seconded by Mr. Thompson, it was voted to approve this recommendation. 10. Discussion of date and location for the next Trustees' meeting. Location of July Board After suggestions in favor of holding the meeting at Oakland University as originally meeting changed scheduled, and others favoring the meeting at Michigan State University, it was agreed to Kellogg Center that the meeting should be held at Oakland on the morning of Friday, July 21, with the Trustees being invited to come to Oakland to attend the symphony concert on the previous evening of Thursday, the 20th. After the meeting had adjourned and a discussion involving President Hannah and Chancellor Varner, it was agreed that it would be better all around if this meeting is held at Michigan State University rather than at Oakland. All of the books, records, and information that may be desired by the Trustees in connection with the budget discussion are in East Lansing.

It is therefore suggested that the July 21 meeting be in the Kellogg Center either following the usual format with the dinner the evening of the 20th, or if it is desired by the Trustees it could be a one-day meeting beginning with a breakfast on the morning of July 21.

Adjourned.

Tack Buch